



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,417	01/22/2004	Alicia Zellous		4550
7590	11/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Kenneth L. Tolar 808 N. Causeway Blvd. Metairie, LA 70001			RINEHART, KENNETH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,417	ZELLOUS, ALICIA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth B Rinehart	3749	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 2,5 and 6 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION***Drawings***

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the coiled configuration using the band of claim 6, removably receiving said remote means of claim 5 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3749

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanders (5651190) in view of Waters (3,946,498). Sanders discloses a housing having a front surface and a rear surface (fig. 3); a hot air blower assembly positioned on the ... of said housing (fig. 2), said blower assembly having an air outlet (122a, fig. 3); a pair of shoulder straps secured to the front surface of said housing (fig. 3); an air hose having two ends, one of said ends connected to the blower assembly outlet (140, fig. 3); a bonnet attached to the other of said ends of said hose (160, fig. 3), said bonnet including a head receiving cavity (fig. 1), a pair of air intake vents positioned on the ... of said housing for supplying fresh ambient air to said blower assembly (fig. 1, fig. 2). Sanders discloses applicant's invention substantially as claimed with the exception of rear surface, a plurality of air discharge apertures positioned thereon, said apertures in communication with said hose allowing hot air to be delivered from said blower to a users head when said bonnet is placed thereover to dry the users hair. Wates teaches a plurality of air discharge apertures positioned thereon, said apertures in communication with said hose allowing hot air to be delivered from said blower to a users head when said bonnet is placed thereover to dry the users hair (23, fig. 2) for the purpose of distributing the air more effectively. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sanders by including a plurality of air discharge apertures positioned thereon, said apertures in communication with said hose allowing hot air to be delivered from said blower to a users head when said bonnet is placed thereover to dry the users hair as taught by Waters for the purpose of distributing the air more effectively so that the hair dries more uniformly and at a faster rate. Sanders in view of Waters

Art Unit: 3749

discloses applicant's invention substantially as claimed with the exception of rear surface. At the time the invention was made it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have rear surface because applicant has not disclosed that this location provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with either the location of Sanders or the claimed location because both locations perform the same function of locating the blower equally well.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanders in view of Waters as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of McFadden (6,038,783). Sanders in view of Waters discloses applicant's invention substantially as claimed with the exception of a remote control means for remotely controlling operation of said hot air blower assembly. McFadden teaches a remote control means for remotely controlling operation of said hot air blower assembly (33, fig. 1) for the purpose of governing the operation of the dryer unit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sanders by including a remote control means for remotely controlling operation of said hot air blower assembly as taught by McFadden for the purpose of governing the operation of the control unit to provide for ease of operation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 5, and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to driers in general: Hubner (3377715).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B Rinehart whose telephone number is 703-308-1722. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 -4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703-308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KBR



KENNETH B. RINEHART
PRIMARY EXAMINER